

On the Solution of the n -Dimensional \oplus_B^k Operator

Sudprathai Bupasiri

Faculty of Education Mathematics Program
Sakon Nakhon Rajabhat University
Sakon Nakhon 47000, Thailand

Copyright © 2014 Sudprathai Bupasiri. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the solution of the equation

$$\oplus_B^k u(x) = \delta$$

where \oplus_B^k is the operator related to the Bessel diamond operator iterated k -time and is defined by

$$\oplus_B^k = \left[(B_{x_1} + B_{x_2} + \cdots + B_{x_p})^4 - (B_{x_{p+1}} + B_{x_{p+2}} + \cdots + B_{x_{p+q}})^4 \right]^k,$$

where $p + q = n$, $B_{x_i} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + \frac{2v_i}{x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$, $v_i = 2\alpha_i + 1$, $\alpha_i > -\frac{1}{2}$ [2], $x_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, k is a nonnegative integer and n is the dimension of \mathbb{R}_n^+ . In this work we study the elementary solution of the operator \oplus_B^k .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 46F10

Keywords: diamond operator, Bessel diamond operator, O-plus operator

1 Introduction

H. Yildirim, M.Z. Sarikaya and S. Ozturk [5] have first introduced the elementary solution of the n -dimensional Bessel diamond operator and the Fourier-Bessel transform of their convolution and showed that the solution of the convolution form $(-1)^k S_{2k}(x) * R_{2k}(x)$ is a unique elementary solution of the $\diamond_B^k u(x) = \delta$.

Consider the Bessel ultra-hyperbolic operator iterated k -times,

$$\square_B^k = \left[\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right]^k$$

Yildirim, Sarikaya and Ozturk [5] has shown that the generalized function $R_{2k}(x)$ define by (14) is the unique elementary solution of the operator \square_B^k , that is $\square_B^k R_{2k}(x) = \delta$ where $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+ = \{x : x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0\}$. Yildirim, Sarikaya and Ozturk [5] studied the Bessel diamond operator, iterated k -times,

$$\begin{aligned} \diamond_B^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right)^2 \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right]^k \left[\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} + \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right]^k, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Yildirim, Sarikaya and Ozturk [5] showed that the function $u(x) = (-1)^k S_{2k}(x) * R_{2k}(x)$ is the unique elementary solution for the operator \diamond_B^k , where $*$ indicates convolution, and $S_{2k}(x)$, $R_{2k}(x)$ are defined by (14) and (17) respectively, that is,

$$\diamond_B^k ((-1)^k S_{2k}(x) * R_{2k}(x)) = \delta(x). \quad (2)$$

Furthermore, the operator \oplus^k was first studied by Kananthai, Suantai and Longani [1]. The \oplus^k operator can be expressed in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right]^k \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} + i \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right]^k \left[\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - i \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right]^k. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Satsanit [4] has studied the Green function and Fourier transform for o-plus

operators, iterated k -times, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^4 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^4 \right]^k \\ &= \diamond^k \left[\left(\frac{\Delta + \square}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta - \square}{2} \right)^2 \right]^k \\ &= \diamond^k \left(\frac{\Delta^2 + \square^2}{2} \right)^k \\ &= \diamond^k \odot^k. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where

$$\odot^k = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right]^k.$$

The purpose of this work is to study the operator

$$\begin{aligned} \oplus_B^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} \right)^4 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right)^4 \right]^k \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right)^2 \right]^k \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right)^2 \right]^k. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Let us denote the operator

$$\odot_B^k = \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right)^2 \right]^k.$$

By (8) and (9) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \odot_B^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p B_{x_i} \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} B_{x_j} \right)^2 \right]^k \\ &= \left[\left(\frac{\Delta_B + \square_B}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta_B - \square_B}{2} \right)^2 \right]^k \\ &= \left(\frac{\Delta_B^2 + \square_B^2}{2} \right)^k. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Thus, (5) can be written as

$$\oplus_B^k = \diamond_B^k \odot_B^k = \odot_B^k \diamond_B^k. \quad (7)$$

For $k = 1$ the operator \diamond_B can be expressed in the form $\diamond_B = \Delta_B \square_B = \square_B \Delta_B$ where \square_B is the Bessel ultra-hyperbolic operator,

$$\square_B = B_{x_1} + B_{x_2} + \cdots + B_{x_p} - B_{x_{p+1}} - B_{x_{p+2}} - \cdots - B_{x_{p+q}}, \quad (8)$$

where $p + q = n$ and Δ_B is the Laplace Bessel operator,

$$\Delta_B = B_{x_1} + B_{x_2} + \cdots + B_{x_p} + B_{x_{p+1}} + B_{x_{p+2}} + \cdots + B_{x_{p+q}}. \quad (9)$$

From (5) with $q = 0$ and $k = 1$, we obtain

$$\oplus_B = \Delta_B^4 \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Delta_B = B_{x_1} + B_{x_2} + \cdots + B_{x_p}. \quad (11)$$

We can find the elementary solution $u(x)$ of the operator \oplus_B^k ; that is,

$$\oplus_B^k u(x) = \delta, \quad (12)$$

where δ is the Dirac-delta distribution. Moreover, we found that $u(x)$ relates to the elementary solution of the Laplace Bessel operator defined by (9) depending on the conditions of q and k of (5) with $q = 0$ and $k = 1$. In finding the elementary solution of (12), we use the method of convolutions of the generalized function.

2 Preliminary Notes

Denoted by T_x^y the generalized shift operator acting according to the law [2]

$$T_x^y \varphi(x) = C_v^* \int_0^\pi \cdots \int_0^\pi \varphi \left(\sqrt{x_1^2 + y_1^2 - 2x_1 y_1 \cos \theta_1}, \dots, \sqrt{x_n^2 + y_n^2 - 2x_n y_n \cos \theta_n} \right) \\ \times \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sin^{2v_i-1} \right) d\theta_1 \dots d\theta_n,$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$, $C_v^* = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(v_i+1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(v_i)}$. We remark that this shift operator is closely connected with the Bessel differential operator [2].

$$\frac{d^2 U}{dx^2} + \frac{2v}{x} \frac{dU}{dx} = \frac{d^2 U}{dy^2} + \frac{2v}{y} \frac{dU}{dy}$$

$$U(x, 0) = f(x),$$

$$U_y(x, 0) = 0.$$

The convolution operator determined by T_x^y is as follow:

$$(f * \varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} f(y) T_x^y \varphi(x) (\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{2v_i}) dy. \tag{13}$$

Convolution (13) is known as a B -convolution. We note the following properties for the B -convolution and the generalized shift operator:

- (a) $T_x^y \cdot 1 = 1$.
- (b) $T_x^0 \cdot f(x) = f(x)$.
- (c) If $f(x), g(x) \in C(\mathbb{R}_n^+)$, $g(x)$ is a bounded function, $x > 0$ and

$$\int_0^\infty |f(x)| (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{2v_i}) dx < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} T_x^y f(x) g(y) (\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{2v_i}) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} f(y) T_x^y g(x) (\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{2v_i}) dy.$$

- (d) From (c), we have the following equality for $g(x) = 1$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} T_x^y f(x) (\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{2v_i}) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}_n^+} f(y) (\prod_{i=1}^n y_i^{2v_i}) dy$$

- (e) $(f * g)(x) = (g * f)(x)$.

Lemma 2.1 Given the equation $\square_B^k u(x) = \delta(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$, where \square_B^k is the Bessel-ultra hyperbolic operator iterated k -times defined by (8). Then $u(x) = R_{2k}(x)$ is an elementary solution of the operator \square_B^k , where

$$R_{2k}(x) = \frac{V^{\frac{2k-n-|v|}{2}}}{K_n(2k)} = \frac{(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - x_{p+2}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2)^{\frac{(2k-n-|v|)}{2}}}{K_n(2k)} \tag{14}$$

for

$$V = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2 - x_{p+1}^2 - x_{p+2}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2 \tag{15}$$

and

$$K_n(2k) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n+2|v|-1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2+2k-n-2|v|}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-2k}{2}\right) \Gamma(2k)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{2+2k-p-2|v|}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{p-2k}{2}\right)}. \tag{16}$$

Lemma 2.1 Given the equation $\Delta_B^k u(x) = \delta(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$, where Δ_B^k is the Laplace Bessel operator iterated k -times defined by (9). Then $u(x) = (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)$ is an elementary solution of the operator Δ_B^k , where

$$S_{2k}(x) = \frac{|x|^{2k-n-2|v|}}{w_n(2k)}, \quad p + q = n, \tag{17}$$

$$|x| = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{18}$$

and

$$w_n(2k) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n 2^{v_i - \frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(v_i + \frac{1}{2}) \Gamma(k)}{2^{n+2|v|-4k} \Gamma(\frac{n+2|v|-2k}{2})}. \tag{19}$$

Lemma 2.2 The convolution $R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)$ is an elementary solution for the operator \diamond_B^k iterated k -times and is defined by (1).

Lemma 2.3 $R_{2k}(x)$ and $S_{2k}(x)$ are homogeneous distributions of order $(2k - n - 2|v|)$.

We need to show that $R_{2k}(x)$ and $(-1)^k S_{2k}(x)$ satisfy the Euler equation; that is,

$$(2k - n - 2|v|) R_{2k}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} R_{2k}(x), \quad (2k - n - 2|v|) S_{2k}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} S_{2k}(x).$$

Lemma 2.4 (The B -convolution of tempered distribution). $R_{2k}(x) * S_{2k}(x)$ exists and is a tempered distribution.

For the proof of Lemma 2.1- Lemma 2.4, see ([5], p.378-383).

Lemma 2.5 The function $R_{-2k}(x)$ and $(-1)^k S_{-2k}(x)$ are the inverse in the convolution algebra of $R_{2k}(x)$ and $(-1)^k S_{2k}(x)$, respectively. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{-2k}(x) * R_{2k}(x) &= R_{-2k+2k}(x) = R_0(x) = \delta(x), \\ (-1)^k S_{-2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x) &= S_{-2k+2k}(x) = S_0(x) = \delta(x) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.6 (The B -convolution of $R_{2k}(x)$ and $S_{2k}(x)$). Let $R_{2k}(x)$ and $S_{2k}(x)$ defined by (14) and (17) respectively, then we obtain:

- (1) $S_{2k}(x) * S_{2m}(x) = S_{2k+2m}(x)$, where k and m are nonnegative integers.
- (2) $R_{2k}(x) * R_{2m}(x) = R_{2k+2m}(x)$, where k and m are nonnegative integers.

For the proof of Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, see [3].

3 Main Results

Theorem 3.1 *Given the equation*

$$\oplus_B^k G(x) = \delta(x) \tag{20}$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$, where \oplus_B^k is the operator iterated k -times is defined by (6). Then we obtain $G(x)$ is an elementary solution of (20), where

$$G(x) = (R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x)) * (C^{**k}(x))^{*-1} \tag{21}$$

where

$$C(x) = \frac{1}{2} R_4(x) + \frac{1}{2} (-1)^2 S_4(x). \tag{22}$$

Here $C^{**k}(x)$ denotes the convolution of $C(x)$ itself k -times, $(C^{**k}(x))^{*-1}$ denotes the inverse of $C^{**k}(x)$ in the convolution algebra. Moreover $G(x)$ is a tempered distribution.

Proof. We have

$$\oplus_B^k G(x) = \left(\frac{\Delta_B^2 + \square_B^2}{2} \right)^k G(x) = \delta(x)$$

or we can write

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 \right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x).$$

Convoluting both sides of the above equation by $R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 \right) * (R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x)) \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 \right)^{k-1} G(x) \\ & = \delta(x) * R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 (R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x)) + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 (R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x)) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 \right)^{k-1} G(x) \\ & = \delta(x) * R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x). \end{aligned}$$

By properties of convolutions,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 ((-1)^2 S_4(x)) * R_4(x) + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 (R_4(x)) * (-1)^2 S_4(x) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2} \square_B^2 \right)^{k-1} G(x) \\ & = \delta(x) * R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and 2.2, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\delta * R_4(x) + \frac{1}{2}\delta * (-1)^2 S_4(x)\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2}\square_B^2\right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x) * R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x).$$

or

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}R_4(x) + \frac{1}{2}(-1)^2 S_4(x)\right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta_B^2 + \frac{1}{2}\square_B^2\right)^{k-1} G(x) = R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x).$$

Keeping on convolving both sides of the above equation by $R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x)$ up to $k - 1$ times, we obtain

$$C^{*k}(x) * G(x) = (R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x))^{*k} \tag{23}$$

the symbol $*k$ denotes the convolution of itself k -times. By properties of $R_{2k}(x)$ and $S_{2k}(x)$ in Lemma 2.7, we have

$$(R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x))^{*k}(x) = R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x).$$

Thus (23) becomes,

$$C^{*k}(x) * G(x) = R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x)$$

or

$$G(x) = (R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1} \tag{24}$$

is an elementary solution of (20). We consider the function $C^{*k}(x)$, since $R_4(x) * (-1)^2 S_4(x)$ is a tempered distribution. Thus $C(x)$ defined by (22) is tempered distribution, we obtain $C^{*k}(x)$ is tempered distribution.

Now, $R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x) \in S'$, the space of tempered distribution. Choose $S' \subset D'_R$, where D'_R is the right-side distribution which is a subspace of D' of distribution. Thus $R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x) \in D'_R$. It follow that $R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x)$ is an element of convolution algebra, since D'_R is a convolution algebra. Hence Zemanian [6], (21) has a unique solution

$$G(x) = (R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1},$$

where $(C^{*k}(x))^{*-1}$ is an inverse of $C^{*k}(x)$ in the convolution algebra. $G(x)$ is called the Green function of the operator \odot_B^k .

Since $R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x)$ and $(C^{*k}(x))^{*-1}$ are lies in S' , then by ([6], p.152) again, we have $(R_{4k}(x) * (-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1} \in S'$. Hence $G(x)$ is a tempered distribution. □

Theorem 3.2 Given the equation

$$\oplus_B^k u(x) = \delta(x), \tag{25}$$

where \oplus_B^k is the operator iterated k -times defined by (5), $\delta(x)$ is the Dirac-delta distribution, $x \in \mathbb{R}_n^+$ and k is a nonnegative integer. Then we obtain

$$u(x) = (R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)) * G(x) \tag{26}$$

or

$$u(x) = (R_{6k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{6k}(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1} \tag{27}$$

is a Green's function or an elementary solution for the operator \oplus_B^k iterated k -times where \oplus_B^k is defined by (5), and $G(x)$ defined by (21). For $q = 0$, then (25) becomes

$$\Delta_B^{4k} u(x) = \delta(x), \tag{28}$$

we obtain

$$u(x) = S_{8k}(x)$$

is an elementary solution of (28), where Δ_B^{4k} is the Laplace Bessel operator of p -dimension, iterated $4k$ -times and is defined by (11). Moreover, we obtain

$$R_{-4k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{-6k}(x) * (C^{*k}(x)) * u(x) = R_{2k}(x) \tag{29}$$

as an elementary solution of the Bessel ultra-hyperbolic operator iterated k -times is defined by (8).

Proof. From (7) and (25), we have

$$\oplus_B^k u(x) = (\diamond_B^k \circledast_B^k) u(x) = \delta(x). \tag{30}$$

Convolving both sides of (30) by $(R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)) * G(x)$, we obtain

$$(R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)) * G(x) * (\diamond_B^k \circledast_B^k) u(x) = \delta(x) * (R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)) * G(x).$$

By properties of convolution

$$\diamond_B^k (R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)) * \circledast_B^k (G(x)) * u(x) = (R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)) * G(x).$$

By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 3.1, we obtain,

$$\delta * \delta * u(x) = u(x) = (R_{2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x)) * G(x).$$

By Lemma 2.7 and (21), we obtain,

$$u(x) = (R_{6k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{6k}(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1} \tag{31}$$

is an elementary solution or Green's function of \oplus_B^k operator. Now, for $q = 0$ the (25) becomes

$$\Delta_B^{4k} u(x) = \delta(x), \quad (32)$$

where Δ_B^{4k} is Laplace Bessel operator of p -dimension iterated $4k$ -times. By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$u(x) = (-1)^{4k} S_{8k}(x) = S_{8k}(x)$$

is an elementary solution of (28). On the other hand, we can also find $u(x)$ from (31). Since $q = 0$, we have $R_{2k}(x)$ reduces to $(-1)^k S_{2k}(x)$. Thus, by (31) for $q = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= (S_{6k}(x) * (-1)^{6k} S_{6k}(x)) * ((-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x))^{*-1} \\ &= (-1)^{6k} S_{6k+6k}(x) ((-1)^{2k} S_{4k}(x))^{*-1} \\ &= S_{8k}(x). \end{aligned}$$

From (31), we have

$$u(x) = (R_{6k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{6k}(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1}.$$

Convolving the above equation by $R_{-6k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{-6k}(x) * (C^{*k}(x))$. By Lemma 2.6 and 2.7, we obtain

$$R_{-6k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{-6k}(x) * (C^{*k}(x)) * u(x) = R_0(x) * S_0(x) * \delta(x) * R_{2k}(x)$$

or

$$R_{-6k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{-6k}(x) * (C^{*k}(x)) * u(x) = \delta(x) * \delta(x) * \delta(x) * R_{2k}(x).$$

It follows that

$$R_{-6k}(x) * (-1)^{3k} S_{-6k}(x) * (C^{*k}(x)) * u(x) = R_{2k}(x) \quad (33)$$

as an elementary solution of the operator \square_B^k iterated k -times defined by (8)

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank the referee for his suggestions which enhanced the presentation of the paper. The author was supported by Sakon Nakhon Rajabhat University.

References

- [1] A. Kananthai, S. Suantai and V. Longani, On the operator \oplus^k related to the wave equation and Laplacian, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, **132**(2002), pp. 219-229. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0096-3003\(01\)00129-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0096-3003(01)00129-1)

- [2] B. M. Levitan, Expansion in Fourier series and integrals with Bessel functions, *Uspeki Mat., Nauka (N.S.)* 6,2(42)(1951) 102-143 (in Russian).
- [3] M. Z. Sarikaya and H. Yildirim, On the B -convolutions of the Bessel diamond kernel of Riesz, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 208(2009), 18-22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2008.11.014>
- [4] W. Satsanit, Green function and Fourier transform for α -plus operator, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equation*, 2010(2010), No. 48, pp. 1-14.
- [5] H. Yildirim, M. Z. Sarikaya and S. Ozturk, The solutions of the n -dimensional Bessel diamond operator and the Fourier-Bessel transform of their convolution, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math.Sci.)*, 114(4)(2004), 375-387. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02829442>
- [6] A. H. Zemanian, *Distribution and Transform Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1965.

Received: October 19, 2014; Published: January 7, 2015