**I-SEEC 2014** 

http//iseec2014.udru.ac.th

# Service Learning on Safety in Life of Pre-service Teachers in SakonNakhon Province

Asst. Prof. Thardthong Pansuppawat

SAKON NAKHON RAJABHAT UNIVERSITY 680 M.11 TUMBOL THAT CHUENGCHUM AMPHOE MUEANG CHANGWAT SAKONNAKHON, THAILAND

Thardthong@hotmail.com

#### Abstract

Learning with social services as a strategy for teaching performance to student achievement goals and realization of the public learning by providing learning helps learners with significant experience and meaningful learning. Learning of services in order to develop leadership skills and apply them in their lives. So, learning management with learning provides a new dimension to the learning that the students have learned in the problem and apply knowledge to social service. The example groups, including pre-service teachers who learn knowledge management. The study of learning in 2 5 5 3 , 5 4 people were selected by cluster sampling (Cluster Random Sampling) and secondary students at secondary school in SakonNakhon province year 2553 of 445people were randomized multistage (multi-stage sampling). The research methodology used R & D (Research and Development) for data analysis which is basic statistics such as mean, standard deviation, percent, and the t-test (Dependent Samples).

### **1. Introduction**

Nowadays, there are many technologies which have been advanced greatly including communications and air transportation facilities in several respects. If the technology's users do not reflect a lack of knowledge and care, it can cause a problems and danger. Then, people who have knowledge of the threats to security and protection from the hazards. From the research arising from the study of problems in secondary schools in SakonNakhon found that students lack of knowledge about the defence of life and want to get knowledge about protection from accident, drug, and flu strains in 2009AIDS.

# 2. Research Objectives

Researchers have determined the purpose of the research.

1. To compare learning achievement before and after learning about on Safety in Lifeof pre-service teacher.

2. The satisfaction of learning to serve Safety in Lifeof preservice teacher.

3. To developlearning servicesskills of pre-service teacher.

## **3.Literature Review**

Service-learning has become a popular teaching method everywhere from elementary schools to colleges.Service-learning is defined as a "course-based, credit bearing educational experience inwhich students (a) participate in an organized service activity that meets identified community needs, and (b) reflect on the service activity in such a way as to gain further understanding of course content, a broader appreciation of the discipline, and an enhanced sense of personal values and civic responsibility" (Bringle& Hatcher, 2009).Students who service learning participate demonstrated in gains in understanding themselves, others, and civic responsibility (Mackenna& Rizzo, 1999). Service learning increases student engagement. Research shows that studentstypically become more engaged in learning as evidenced by increased attendanceand motivation to learn (e.g., Melchior, 1999; Shumer, 1994).Service students improve academically. learning helps Research findingsindicate show that students gains in academic achievement, including gradesand standardized tests (Scales, Blyth, Berkas, & Kielsmeier, 2000). A summary of the research literature (Billig, 2000) showed that the evidence of thepositive impact of service-learning on participating students was beginning to build in four areas: Academic or cognitive domains, that is, what students were learning in terms of contentor higher order thinking skills as a result of their participation; Civic domains. that connection to society is. and community:*Personal/social domains*. that is. personal and interpersonal development in areas such asyouth empowerment, self-confidence, respect for diversity, and avoidance of riskbehaviors; and Career exploration skills, such as knowledge of career pathways and workplace literacy.

# **Conceptual Framework**



#### **4.**Research Design

Part1 Study and practice: Study of safety in life and practice service skill in context of social problem and protection.

Part2Servicelearning:bring the knowledge and practice in the classroom to serve at school as stages follow;

Stage2.1 Survey needs of services given: cooperate with those organizations about safety in life.

Stage2.2 Preparation teaching techniques effectively. Using teaching material, and innovation of service learning enhancement. Design of achievement test and satisfaction questionnaire.

Stage2.3Service learning:pre-service teachersgive service learning, and evaluate while in school.

Stage2.4Publication: exchanging knowledge, experiences, and service skills.

# 5. Results of the study were:

1. Learning achievement to provide for the safety in life of pre-service teacher. After learning is higher than before learning. A statistically significant level .01

2 . Satisfaction to learn about safety in life of pre-service teacher. Pre-service teacher are most satisfied in all aspects and the most satisfying first position, including knowledge about the prevention of sexual relations in the age of 71.20 percent, second position, knowledge about AIDS and protection of 57.60 percent, third position is to know about protection in daily life, to 54.20 percent.

3 .Pre-service teacher's skills in learning services, having a good relationship, can solve problems and make decisions on their own experience of working in unity, tolerance, responsibility and punctuality. Known and experienced in problem solving tasks, learning, Service Learning of Safety in Life.

Researcher survey the problems in community and there are the rage from the first to the tenth as follow:-

First flu strains in 2009 33.33percent.

Second		protection from accident		31.82 percent.		
Thirddrugs abuse			29.8	29.80percent.		
Fourth		prevention of sexual		25.76percent.		
Fifth AIDS			12.1	12.12 percent.		
Sixth		tsunami		6.06 percent.		
Seventh Threat of Food		of Food	5.06percent.			
Eight		flood	4.55	percent.		
Ninth		thunderbolt		4.55 percent.		
Tenth		Fire	2.53	percent.		

6

Researcher use the result of the problem in community survey from the first to the fifth for teaching and learning.

Table 1: to compare learning achievement before and after learning about Service Learning on Safety in Life for Pre-service Teachers.

Target Group	Ν	Ā	S.D.	t
pre-test	54	28.02	3.33	32.01**
post-test	54	43.17	2.07	

Table 1show that Academic achievement to provide for the Service Learning on Safety in Life for Pre-service Teachers. After learning higher than before learning. A statistically significant level .01

# Table 2: the satisfaction of pre- service learning on safety in life for pre-service teacher.

Item	Particular	satisfaction					
		highest	high	average	lower	lowest	
		Number/	Number/	Number/	Number/	Number/	
		percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	percentage	
1	flu strains in 2009	26	20	8	-	-	
		(44.10)	(33.90)	(13.60)			
2	drugs abuse	23	25	6	-	-	
		(39.00)	(42.40)	(10.20)			
3	prevention of sexual	42	10	2		-	
		(71.20)	(16,90)	(3.40)			
4	AIDS	34	12	8	-	-	
		(57.6)	(20.00)	(13.60)			
5	protection from accident	26	22	6	-	-	
		(44.10)	(37.30)	(10.20)			
6	the satisfaction in	30	20	4	-	-	
	method	(50.80)	(33.90)	(6.80)			
7	the satisfaction in	22	22	9	1	-	
	material	(37.30)	(37.30)	(15.30)	(1.70)		
8	the satisfaction in	25	24	5		-	
	knowledge	(42.4)	(40.7)	(8.5)			
9	the satisfaction in	24	25	5	-	-	
	stimulating	(40.7)	(42.4)	(8.5)			
10	Application in day life	32	16	5	1	-	
		(54.20)	(27.10)	(8.50)	(1.7)		

Table 2: satisfaction to learn about safety in life of preservice teacher. Pre-service teacher are most satisfied in all aspects and the most satisfying positions. First including knowledge about the prevention of sexual relations in the age of 71.20percent. SecondKnowledge about AIDS and protection of 57.60percent. Third place is to know about protection in everyday life, to 54.20 percent.

3. Pre-service teacher's skills in learning services. Having a good relationship can solve problems and make decisions on their own experience of working in unity, tolerance, responsibility and punctuality. Known and experienced in problem solving tasks. Learning, Service Learning, Safety in Life.

## 6. Discussion and Conclusion

1. Study and research about service learning in other related fields for applying the knowledge in service for society.

2. Study and research about knowledge in safety in life such as accident, sexual prevention, drugs in primary and secondary schools.

## 7. References

Billig, S. H. (2002). Adoption, implementation, and sustainability of K–12service-learning. In A. Furco& S. H. Billig (Eds.), *Service-learning: The essence of the pedagogy*. Greenwich, CT: Information Age.

Bringle, R. G., & Hatcher, J. A. (2009, Fall). Innovative practices in service-learning and curricular engagement. *New directions for higher education*. *No. 147*, 37-46.

Mackenna, M. W.& Rizzo, E(1999). Outside the classroom: Student perceptions of the benefits of service learning. *Journal of Prevention and Intervention in the community*, 18, 111-123.

Melchior, A. (1999). *Summary report: National evaluation of Learn and Serve America*. Waltham, MA: Center for Human Resources, Brandeis University.

Scales, P., Blyth, D,Berkas, T.,&Kielsmeier, I(2000). The effects of service learning on middle school students' social responsibility and academic success, *Journal of Early Adolescences, 20 (3)*. 331-358.

Shumer, R. (1994). Community-based learning: Humanizing education. *Journal of Adolescence*, *17*, 357–367.